



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,685	02/09/2006	Keiji Sugiyama	20060094A	2117
513	7590	03/16/2009	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			JACOB, AJITH	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2161		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		03/16/2009	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/567,685	SUGIYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	AJITH JACOB	2161	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 12-15 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 12-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5 and 7-10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hattori et al. (US 2002/0065693 A1).

For claim 1, Hattori et al. teaches:

An information processing apparatus comprising a processor and an information notification apparatus, and is embedded in one of a portable generic computer, a Personal Digital Assistant and a cellular phone, said information notification apparatus comprising:

a rule holding unit operable to hold (a) an information notification rule which is generated based on information concerning a preference of a specific user, the rule defining that predetermined information should be notified to the specific user in the case where the specific user satisfies a predetermined condition [user notified of condition of finding a store that carries the predetermined product to purchase, 0124-0130] and (b) an information notification rule which is generated based on information concerning a preference of another user [notification by wife to husband (second user to first), 0147]; an information notification unit operable to notify the specific user of predetermined information which needs to be notified to the other user, in the case

where a condition which is defined by the information notification rule concerning the other user is satisfied by the specific user [update of purchase memo on agent system and client terminal and second user purchase of item from specific users memo, 0146-0147]; and a behavior determination unit operable to determine whether or not the specific user who received the notified information has behaved in a manner indicated in the notified information, based on one of an input received from the specific user and data related to the notified information, such that, when the specific user who received the notified information does not behave in the manner indicated in the notified information, a notification occurs [indicator if purchase is made by the user or not, 0173] that includes a message positively asserting that the specific user who received the notification information did not behave in the manner indicated in the notified information [purchase log, with extracted listing presented of goods actually purchased, 0172].

For claim 2, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein said rule holding unit is further operable to hold one of a plurality of information notification rules in association with a plurality of groups, at least one of said plurality of information notification rules being associated with each of said plurality of groups, wherein each group is made up of a plurality of users [user a member of groups, 0168], wherein said information notification apparatus further comprises a group determination unit operable to manage information concerning each of the groups and operable to determine a group to which the specific user belongs [user determined to be in group, 0168], and wherein said information notification unit is operable to notify the specific user of the

predetermined information in the case where a condition which is defined by one of the information notification rules is satisfied by the specific user, the rule being held in association with each of the determined groups [if user buys product, group is notified, 0168].

For claim 3, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to Claim 2, wherein said information notification unit is operable to notify the predetermined information to only members of groups to which each user belongs, the information being based on the information notification rule of each user [product purchase notified to users with product on list in group, 0172].

For claim 4, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to Claim 2, wherein the information concerning each user's preference includes at least information indicating each user's current position and preference [personal information and interest, 0114], and wherein said rule holding unit includes: a rule generation unit operable to generate each of the information notification rules based on inputted information which is necessary for generating each of the information notification rules based on information concerning one of the plurality of users' preferences [locating based on user preference, 0122-0125], the necessary information being received through one of a dialogic input from the one of the plurality of users and a communication network [input from user and network communication, 0115], and wherein said rule holding unit is further operable to hold the information notification rules generated by the plurality of users, in association

with one or more of the groups to which each user belongs [memo information of group of user, 0168].

For claim 5, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to Claim 2, wherein said information notification unit is further operable to restrict a number of people to which information is notified to a predetermined number on a group-by-group basis, in the case where a plurality of users substantially simultaneously satisfy a same condition regarding one of the information notification rules [restriction of group to list by ID, 0184-0185].

Claim 7 is a method of claim 1. Chen et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 8 is a computer program of claim 1. Chen et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 for the reasons stated above.

For claim 9, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said information notification unit is further operable to notify the information to the specific user again in the case where said behavior determination unit has judged that the specific user who received the notified information did not behave in the manner indicated in the notified information [indicator if purchase is made by the user or not on various occasions, 0173].

For claim 10, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said

information notification unit is operable to notify the information to another user in the group to which the specific user belongs in the case where said behavior determination unit has judged that the specific user who received the notified information did not behave in the manner indicated in the notified information [indicator if purchase is made by the user or not to multiple users to avoid failure or duplication of purchase, 0186].

For claim 11, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said information notification unit is operable to notify the information to the other user who defined the information notification rule in the case where said behavior determination unit has judged that the specific user who received the notified information did not behave in the manner indicated in the notified information [indicator if purchase is made by the user or not to another user to avoid failure or duplication of purchase, 0186].

For claim 12, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said information notification unit is further operable to notify the information to only a user at one time [indicator if purchase is made by the user or not during appropriate timing, 0173].

For claim 13, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said data related to the notified information comprises financial data [purchase goods information included, 0174].

For claim 14, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said behavior determination unit is operable to determine whether or not the specific user who received the notified information has behaved in a manner indicated in the notified information based on the input received from the specific user [indicator if purchase is made by the user or not to another user to avoid failure or duplication of purchase, 0186].

For claim 15, Hattori et al. teaches:

The information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said information notification unit is operable to inquire about a possibility of notifying the other user who has generated the information notification rule regarding the information which needs to be notified to the other user, before notifying the specific user of the information [information conveyed to other user using purchase memo by update when purchaser gets the product, 0187].

#### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed December 15, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicant's argument.

Applicant argues that Hattori et al. (US 2002/0065693 A1) does not disclose that when a purchase does not occur, a notification occurs that includes a message positively asserting the behavior of the user for claims 1, 7 and 8. Applicant also argues that the reference does not disclose sharing the notified information with another user

after judging that a specific user did not behave in a manner indicated in the notified information.

Paragraph 0172 states the availability of a unit for producing the user's purchase log from products actually purchased and a purchase record management system to track purchase accounts. This feature clearly has the contents to purchase in a log and a list of purchased products, thus leaving products not purchased on the log in form of written messages as claimed by the applicant in claims 1, 7 and 8. Paragraph 0186 states the sharing of purchase memo information among multiple users about electronic goods purchase information to avoid duplicate buys. This clearly teaches over the claimed language, since the behavior of the user of buying or not buying products off the list is shared with another user.

In light of the forgoing arguments, the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections are hereby sustained.

***Conclusion***

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajith Jacob whose telephone number is 571-270-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 EST, Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

3/13/2009

AJ  
Patent Examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/567,685

Page 10

Art Unit: 2161

/Apu M Mofiz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161